

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,182 01/24/2002		Patrick J. Hood	CRG 005 P2 9461	
33805	7590 06/30/2004		EXAMINER	
WEGMAN, HESSLER & VANDERBURG 6055 ROCKSIDE WOODS BOULEVARD			KUHNS, ALLAN R	
SUITE 200	SUITE 200			PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAN	D, OH 44131		1732	

DATE MAILED: 06/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·····		A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summa		0/056,182	HOOD ET AL.	
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		caminer	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this age		lan Kuhns	1732	
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this color Reply	mmunication appears	s on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss
THE - External after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COM ansions of time may be available under the progression of time may be available under the progression of time may be available under the progression of time may be period for reply specified above is less than 2 period for reply is specified above, the maximum to reply within the set or extended period to reply received by the Office later than three need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	MUNICATION.  ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  ils communication.  thirly (30) days, a reply with  imum statutory period will ap  for reply will, by statute, caus  nonths after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a in the statutory minimum of this ply and will expire SIX (6) MOI set the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this commu	inication.
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication	(s) filed on			
2a)□		2b)☐ This acti	ion is non-final.		
3)				ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
	closed in accordance with the				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in	the application			
	4a) Of the above claim(s)		om consideration		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_ is/are withdrawith	om consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			•	
	Claim(s) is/are objected				
	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> are subject to res		ion requirement.		
	on Papers		,		
	The specification is objected to	hy the Evaminer			
	The drawing(s) filed on is		d or h) objected to	by the Everiner	
. • , 🗀	Applicant may not request that any				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) incl			• •	40471)
11)	The oath or declaration is object				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	tod to by the Exami	ior. Note the attached	Office Action of form P10-1;	<b>32</b> .
	-	1-1 6 6 1			
	Acknowledgment is made of a c ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None		rity under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)ر					
	1. Certified copies of the pri				
	2. Certified copies of the pri				
	3. Copies of the certified co			received in this National Stag	e
* 5	application from the Inter-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	` ','		
3	ee the attached detailed Office	action for a list of the	e certified copies not	received.	
	*				
ttachment	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		<b>,</b> □		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi	iew (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview S Paper No/s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 No(s)/Mail Date			formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Tra	ademark Office				
OL-326 (Re	ev. 1-U4)	Office Action S	Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date (	062604

Art Unit: 1732

1.Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-16, 23-26 and 40-43, drawn to a method of making a mold, classified in class 264, subclass 219.
- II. Claims 17-22 and 48, drawn to a method of ejecting molded material from a mold, classified in class 264, subclass 334.
- III. Claims 27-29, drawn to a mold, classified in class 249, subclass 127.
- IV. Claims 30-39, drawn to a method for imparting a desired contour to a substrate, classified in class 264, subclass 293.
- V. Claims 44-47, drawn to a method for casting a castable composite part, classified in class 264, subclass 299.
- VI. Claim 49, drawn to a method of withdrawing a shape memory mandrel from within a composite part, classified in class 156, subclass 166.
- VII. Claim 50, drawn to a method of manufacturing a shape memory material mandrel, classified in class 264, subclass 317.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and process of using a product. These inventions are distinct because the process of making the product can be used to make a materially different product such as a mold having neither a concave nor a convex surface.

Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

Art Unit: 1732

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product such as a mold lacking a SMP film but whose form itself is comprised of SMP.

Inventions I and IV are related as process of making and process of using a product. These inventions are distinct because the process of making the product can be used to make a materially different product such as a mold having a smooth lower surface rather than one having a lower surface with relief features.

Inventions I and V are also related as process of making and process of using a product. These inventions are distinct because the mold formed by the process of Group I can be used to practice another and materially different process such as one in which a homogeneous product is formed.

Invention I is distinct from each of inventions VI and VII because the product of Group I can be used to mold an article in which no filament winding, as in Group VI, is involved and the mandrel of Group VII can be used in processes where molding of non-resinous materials, such as ceramic materials, which do not have a curing temperature per se, are shaped.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for

Art Unit: 1732

using the product as claimed can be practiced with another and materially different product such as one lacking a film of SMP but rather one whose form is made from SMP.

Inventions II and IV are two distinct methods of using a mold. These inventions are distinct because the method of Group II could be practiced to eject a molded article having a smooth surface rather than to eject a molded article having surface relief features.

Inventions II and V are also two distinct methods of using a mold. These methods are distinct because the method of Group II could be use to eject a homogeneous article from a mold.

Invention II is distinct from each of inventions VI and VII because the mandrel itself may be formed from shape memory material rather than conducting the process by inserting a shape memory material into and mold.

Inventions III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as one in which a product having a smooth surface rather than one having surface relief features is formed.

Art Unit: 1732

Inventions III and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as one in which a homogeneous part is formed.

Innvention III is distinct from each of the inventions of Groups VI and VII because the mandrels of Groups VI and VII may themselves be formed from a shape memory material rather than using just a film of SMP material.

Inventions IV and V are distinct methods of using a mold. These inventions are distinct because the method of Group V could be used to form a composite molded product having a smooth surface rather than one with a surface having relief features.

Invention IV is distinct from each of the inventions of Groups VI and VII because the mandrels of Groups VI and VII could be used in processes where articles having smooth surfaces, rather than articles having a relief surface, are formed.

Invention V is distinct from each of the inventions of Groups VI and VII because the latter two inventions do not require the use of SMP in flowable form.

The inventions of Groups VI and VII are related as process of using and process of making a product. These inventions are distinct because the invention of Group VII can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as one in which the mandrel is withdrawn from a shaped ceramic material rather than a resinous material.

Art Unit: 1732

3.Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art requiring divergent fields of search for the respective inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4.A telephone call was made to Bruce Peacock on June 15, 2004 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

5.Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1732

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALLAN R. KUHNS

allow R. Kula

PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

6-26-04